UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/586,947 | 04/27/2007 | Minoru Ishijima | 2006-1211A | 3373 |
| | 7590 05/01/200 , LIND & PONACK, I | EXAMINER | | |
| 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503 | | | BINDA, GREGORY JOHN | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3679 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/01/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/586,947 | ISHIJIMA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Greg Binda | 3679 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | |
| ·= | , - | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| ologod in addordance with the practice and c | x parte gaayle, 1000 G.B. 11, 10 | .0 0.0. 210. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | ·. | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2006</u> is/are: a)[| ☐ accepted or b)⊠ objected to b | y the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | , | (-) (-) | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | |
| 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | | on No | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | a in this National Stage | | | |
| | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · — — — | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/586,947 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 1 includes the reference characters 5c, 5d, O_4 & O_5 that are not mentioned in the description.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because:
 - a. Page 3 includes an undefined acronym, NVH.
 - b. Page 6 includes an undefined acronym, PCR.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it begins with a phrase that can be implied. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

4. The detailed description of the invention is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the subject matter in claim 1, lines 4, 7, 12 & 13.

Claim Objections

5. The claims are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.75(i) because elements of the claims are not separated by line indentation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 1, line 7 recites the limitation, "the balls always making contact with the ball tracks by preload applying means". The specification does not disclose any such means or even mention any special need for insuring the balls 3 always stay in contact with the ball tracks 1a, 2a.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,947 Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wakita, JP 11-

101259. Figs. 1-3 show a constant velocity universal joint comprising:

an outer member 1 provided with a spherical inner surface 1a in which a plurality of track

grooves 1b are formed;

an inner member 2 provided with a spherical outer surface 2a in which a plurality of track

grooves 2b are formed;

balls 3 disposed in wedge-shaped (see paragraph 0013) ball tracks which are formed by

the synergy between the track grooves of the outer member and the track grooves of the inner

member, and

a retainer 4 disposed between the spherical inner surface of the outer member and the

spherical outer surface of the inner member to hold the balls,

wherein the constant velocity universal joint further comprising a hollow connecting

shaft 5 having an axial end section 5a having teeth 5c which are engaged with an engagement

section 2c of the inner member, and a middle section 5b continued from the axial end section;

and Wakita discloses paragraph 0028 that in a ratio r3 (=dm/DOUTER) of an outside diameter

Page 5

(dm) of the middle section 5b of the connecting shaft to an outer diameter (DOUTER) of the outer member 1 is set in a range of 0.29 to 1.0.

Load which keeps the balls 3 in contact with the ball tracks 1b, 2b is an inherent feature of the constant velocity joint as evident from *Universal Joint and Driveshaft Design Manual*. (See item 10 below).

Wakita discloses at paragraph 0020 that a ratio r2 (=DOUTER/PCDSERR) between the outside diameter (DOUTER) of the outer member 1 and a pitch circle diameter (PCDSERR) of the teeth in the engagement section 2c of the inner member 2 is set in a range of 3.0 to 3.5.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. *Universal Joint and Driveshaft Design Manual* discloses at page 149 (see the last full paragraph in the left column) that the balls in a Rzeppa universal joint (i.e. the instant invention) are loaded either in compression or subjected to shear type loading.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30 am to 8:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,947 Page 6

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Greg Binda/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679